

G. R. S. 58

Previous references.

File No. 67-10

1900.

Serial Nos. 1-2

(Sep. Rev.)

Later references.

(13) P

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B. for February 1911

SUBJECT.

Rejecting the claim of the Mandan to the Toddy
Excise Revenue of Murganur village in the
J. N. Narayanpur 79.

From the Excise Com^r. No. 3663 d. 28/10/10

Submits the record of the Case and states that
the claim is not established.

2. To the Excise Com^r, Comptroller & Mandan Dept.

No. 264183-5 d. 10/2/11

Holds that the Mandan is not entitled
to the Toddy revenue of the village.

1900.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(8th)

NOTES.

File No. 67-10

FILE HEADING.

Claim to the 1000 revenue of Sarva-
manga Muruganur village, J. Narsi
per Taluk

From

The Estate Comr

No. 3663

Dated

28/10/00

Received

4/11/00

Diary No. 1797

Enclos. 2

files

Spare Copies

Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits the record and states
that the claim is not established

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The village has neither a shop
nor a grove. It is under Govt
management (Muzrai Dept).
There is no proof of alienation of
the 1000 revenue at the time of

File No. 67 of 1910

Serial
No.

The grant of the village as
made at the time settlement
conducted in 1879 so the
revenue has been reserved to
Govt. There is absolutely no
proof of enjoyment. Conse-
quently the grant is disallowed
by all the officers. In fact
no claim is preferred by anybody
for this revenue.
Doubtful but forabil

15/12/10
15/12/10
15/12/10

may be affd

30/1/11

ST

15/12/10

15/12/10

approved

3/1/11

Issued

7/1/11

3

Serial
No.

2

To the exercise can

" Comptroller

" Inam Supt

No. 74483-5 of 10-2-11

Holds that the Inamdar is not entitled
to the toddy revenue of the village

B

11113
No 3663

2-28-10

①

1797

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 28th October 1910.

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

SH 67-1080

To

C. S. Balasundram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

In submitting herewith copy of the Mysore District Excise Officer's letter No. 7, of the 17/29th August 1910, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, together with the records received therewith in original connected with the investigation into the claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of the Sarvamanya village of Thuruganur in the T. Narsipur taluk, I have the honor to state that there is nothing in the records to show that the revenue from this source was ever alienated to the beneficiary, nor is there anything to prove that he ever enjoyed the same for the purpose for which the village was granted to him.

2. The Inam Register of enquiry also reserves the right to "Abkari" to the state and as mentioned by the investigating officer there has been no source of Excise Revenue in this village since -- 1892-93.

3. For the foregoing reasons I am also of opinion that the inamdar can have no claim whatever to the Excise Revenue of his -- village.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Ramanna

for Excise Commissioner.

81797 SR
19 / 0

From

H. H. Sparkes & Son

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

B. S. Balasundaram
Offs. Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched 2-11-10.

Received

General No. 353

Enclosure No. 2

SUBJECT.

Submitting the records relating to the claim to the Excise Revenue from both of the Sarvamanga village of Thurugamur in the V. Narasipur Taluk, and stating that the Inamdar can have no claim to the Excise Revenue of the above village since no proof is to be seen from the records regarding the enjoyment of this Revenue by the Inamdar.

Copy of letter No. 7, dated 17th August 1910, from the District Excise Officer, Mysore District to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

-----@@@@-----

I have the honor to submit herewith for favour of your ~~approval~~ approval and forwardal to the Excise Commissioner in Mysore the - - calendar and other papers connected with the investigation held by me in the case of the toddy Excise rights of the Sarvamanya village of Thuraganuru in the T. Narsipur taluk. The village is in the - - management of Government which also administers its revenues for the benefit of the Muzrai institutions known as the Nowl Krishna Dass' charities at Paschimavahini. Endeavours made with a view to secure the documents bearing upon the alienation of the village from the records of the taluk, the District and the General and Revenue - - Secretary's Offices proved unsuccessful. An extract from the - - Register of the Inam Enquiry conducted in the year 1879-80 obtained from the Inam Superintendent's office throws some light on the points at issue and is the only documentary evidence that could be availed of in the enquiry.

1. It is gathered from the said extract that the present village with another by name of Basavanhally was alienated on Inam tenure by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodayar Bahadur in the year 1820 to one Sowcar Nowl Krishna Dass for the support of Agrahara temples and chatram founded by the Sowcar. In the epitome given of the Maharaja's Niroop at column 11 of the Inam Register no account is given of the special rights alienated by the Sovereign. There is thus much room for doubt as to whether the toddy revenue was allowed to the alienee by the Niroop and whether any such revenue could be derived from the village at the time of its alienation. The facts recorded by the Inam Settlement as touching the enjoyment of the -- revenue by the Inamdar at the time of its conduct only confirm the doubt. The Inam Assistant recommends a reservation of the right to "Abkari" to the State which the Superintendent orders in his final award.

3. There has neither been a toddy shop nor a date grove in the -- village since the commencement of the present Toddy Administration in 1892-93 and no revenue has been realised in the village on -- account of toddy Excise. In these circumstances no claim can be said to exist. I have, therefore, held that the claim has to be dis-allowed.

-----@C@C@C@-----

" Copy "

M. M. M. M. M.
for Excise Commissioner.

M. M.

Balance of a claim to the Encroachment from today onwards

J. Narasimha	Name of Debtor
Pannur	Name of Holder
Muruganur (Savarnanur)	Name of Nam Village
The Dowry Krishna Dass' Charities at Paschimappahine.	Name of Namdar
1 J. Narasimha Amildar's Office No. 78 dated the 18th September 1909 stating that no records connected with the alienation of the village are available in the Debtor records. 2 Docket No. 2558 dated the 25th April 1910 from the General and Revenue Secretary stating that the same, being path and other papers connected with the Savarnanur village are not available in the records of the General and Revenue Secretary. 3 Extract from the Register of Namdar Enquiry, Sheet No. 138, obtained from the Namdar Superintendent's Office.	Document relied upon Witnesses examined Finding Amount of advance, if any, paid on account of the claim
There being no source of today, Encroachment in the village, and as there are reasons to transfer that the village is the same revenue was not specifically alluded to in the records and conceded at the Namdar Settlement it is decided that the claim is not allowed.	nil

ined with by the District Enquire Officer, Mysore District.

4

Grounds of decision

There is no toddy shop in the village and the date trees standing on its lands were presumably not numerous enough to be constituted into a grove and sold during the Farming system days.

It is gathered that the village is an endowment grant to certain muzarai institutions under the management Government, in the village of Paschimavahini known as Sri Krishnadas' Charities. Searches made for the papers connected with the alienation of the village succeeding the taluk, the District Office and the General and Revenue Secretariat records were found unavailing. A statement recorded at Column 11 of the Register of the Land Enquiry conducted in 1879-80, however, furnishes information that the present village as well as other by name Basavanahally was alienated by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur in

in the year 1820. No account of the special rights
alienated was given by the said statement which thus
makes it doubtful whether the right to the toddy
revenue was at all alienated by the Sovereign. The
doubt is only strengthened by the proceedings of the
Drain Settlement. In the Drain Assistant's investigation
concerning the claims enjoyed nothing beyond 'date
trees' was proved to have accrued to the Namdar
in the village in the days preceding the Settlement.
That Officer could only recommend the reservation
of 'Alkari' to the State and the final award of
the Drain Superintendent is in agreement with
the recommendation.

Under these circumstances and in view of
the fact that the village has been devoid of a
source of toddy Enaise revenue ever since the year
1892-93, the claim has to be disallowed.

H. S. Sathar
District Enaise Officer
Compd. Mysore District

There is no evidence of the alienation of the
right to the drain in any form of the revenue
of the village in question as a Sarva-kam. Nor
has there been evidence as to the fact
of the drain being at any time enjoyed in the
village. No work was ever done in the well.

As it is seen from the remains of the Indian
cylinders that resemble the resemblance
of the Akkadian ones 6000- in the shape &
any thing to the cylinder, perhaps in the form
of a small vase & the handle -

From the cylinders, the shape of
the handle to the most recent cylinder
is similar

Abraham

9/9/00 Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

14
7-2-11 (3000)
G.R.S. 28.]

(4)
67/10
File No.

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 1797

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

8/11
READ—

Letter no 3663 of

, the

19

Date of issue

10 February/11

Signed by

W. H. H. Y. Y. Y.

28/10/10 from the Revenue Com.
in Mysore submitting the
record of the revenue relating
to the estate of the late
revenue of Sarvamangal
Thuruganur village, T. N. H.
per Taluk, Mysore Dist.

ORDER THEREON No

Order thereon no 4183-5

2 10-2-11

There is no proof of
the alienation of the
late revenue to the

over

SUBJECT.

Incedon at the time
of the grant of the village
as Ince. ^{Hkani} ~~His presence~~

has been expressly reserved
to Govt at the Ince settle-

ment conducted in
1879-80. and ~~there has been~~
~~absolutely no proof~~

has been adduced
of the finding if any.

the enjoyment ~~as~~ the village
has neither a shop nor
a house. In these cir-

Since the year 1892-93.

cumstances Govt. are
of opinion that the
Incedon is not entitled
to the Ince presence from
1879

Permit

For Hkani

To the Ince Com. to be recorded
The Comptroller
The Ince subd.

Note to despatch

The Calendar
is for the file

15/12/10

15/12/10

11/12/11
3/2/11

Feb. 11

2

II—Finl. Sec. 2

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial, (Separate Revenue), dated 10th February 1911.*

READ—

Letter No. 3663, dated the 28th October 1910, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the claim to the toddy revenue of the Saryamanyam Thuruganur village, T.-Narsipur Taluk, Mysore District.

No. FL. 4183-5—S. R. 67-10-2, DATED BANGALORE, 10TH FEBRUARY 1911.

ORDER THEREON.—There is no proof of the alienation of the toddy revenue to the Inamdar at the time of the grant of the village as Inam. "Abkari" has been expressly reserved to Government at the Inam Settlement conducted in 1879-80, and no proof has been adduced of the enjoyment of the privilege, if any. The village has neither a shop nor a grove since the year 1892-93. In these circumstances, Government are of opinion that the Inamdar is not entitled to the excise revenue from toddy.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, with records.

The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

The Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

Exd.—c. v.

1910-11

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL
(SEPARATE REVENUE)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 10th February 1911

NO. 4183-5
S. R. 67-10-2

Holding that the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy revenue of the Sarva-manyam Thuruganur village, T.-Narsipur Taluk.